An Open Letter to PM Ardern and Minister Shaw regarding the Promotion of Cimate Change Fraud

```
From: jcrofe@xtra.co.nz <jcrofe@xtra.co.nz>
Sent: Friday, 8 October 2021 10:06 am
To: 'Kiritapu.Allan@parliament.govt.nz' < Kiritapu.Allan@parliament.govt.nz>;
'Virginia.Andersen@parliament.govt.nz' < Virginia.Andersen@parliament.govt.nz >;
'Jacinda.Ardern@parliament.govt.nz' < Jacinda.Ardern@parliament.govt.nz>;
'Camilla.Belich@parliament.govt.nz' < Camilla.Belich@parliament.govt.nz >;
'Glen.Bennett@parliament.govt.nz' < Glen.Bennett@parliament.govt.nz >;
'Rachel.Boyack@parliament.govt.nz' < Rachel.Boyack@parliament.govt.nz>;
'Rachel.Brooking@parliament.govt.nz' < Rachel.Brooking@parliament.govt.nz>;
'Naisi.Chen@parliament.govt.nz' < Naisi.Chen@parliament.govt.nz >;
'David.Clark@parliament.govt.nz' < David.Clark@parliament.govt.nz>;
'Tamati.Coffey@parliament.govt.nz' < Tamati.Coffey@parliament.govt.nz>;
'Liz.Craig@parliament.govt.nz' <Liz.Craig@parliament.govt.nz>;
'Kelvin.Davis@parliament.govt.nz' < Kelvin.Davis@parliament.govt.nz >;
'Paul.Eagle@parliament.govt.nz' < Paul.Eagle@parliament.govt.nz >;
'Barbara.Edmonds@parliament.govt.nz' < Barbara.Edmonds@parliament.govt.nz >:
'kris.faafoi@parliament.govt.nz' <kris.faafoi@parliament.govt.nz>;
'Shanan.Halbert@parliament.govt.nz' <Shanan.Halbert@parliament.govt.nz>;
'Peeni.Henare@parliament.govt.nz' < Peeni.Henare@parliament.govt.nz >;
'Emily.Henderson@parliament.govt.nz' < <a href="mailto:Emily.Henderson@parliament.govt.nz">Emily.Henderson@parliament.govt.nz</a>;
'Chris.Hipkins@parliament.govt.nz' < Chris.Hipkins@parliament.govt.nz>;
'Willie.Jackson@parliament.govt.nz' < Willie.Jackson@parliament.govt.nz >;
'Anahila.Kanongata'a-Suisuiki@parliament.govt.nz' < Anahila.Kanongata'a-
Suisuiki@parliament.govt.nz>; 'Ingrid.Leary@parliament.govt.nz'
< < Ingrid.Leary@parliament.govt.nz >; 'Neru.Leavasa@parliament.govt.nz'
<Neru.Leavasa@parliament.govt.nz>; 'Stephanie.Lewis@parliament.govt.nz'
<Stephanie.Lewis@parliament.govt.nz>; 'Andrew.Little@parliament.govt.nz'
<a href="mailto:</a> <a href="mailto:Andrew.Little@parliament.govt.nz">Andrew.Little@parliament.govt.nz</a>; 'Anna.Lorck@parliament.govt.nz'
<a href="mailto:</a> <a href="mailto:Anna.Lorck@parliament.govt.nz">Anna.Lorck@parliament.govt.nz</a>; 'Maria.Lubeck@parliament.govt.nz'
<Maria.Lubeck@parliament.govt.nz>; 'Jo.Luxton@parliament.govt.nz'
<Jo.Luxton@parliament.govt.nz>; 'Nanaia.Mahuta@parliament.govt.nz'
< Nanaia. Mahuta@parliament.govt.nz >; 'Trevor. Mallard@parliament.govt.nz'
<Trevor.Mallard@parliament.govt.nz>; 'Kieran.McAnulty@parliament.govt.nz'
< Kieran. McAnulty@parliament.govt.nz>; 'Tracey. McLellan@parliament.govt.nz'
<<u>Tracey.McLellan@parliament.govt.nz</u>>; 'Stuart.Nash@parliament.govt.nz'
<Stuart.Nash@parliament.govt.nz>; 'Terisa.Ngobi@parliament.govt.nz'
< Terisa. Ngobi@parliament.govt.nz>; 'Damien.O'Connor@parliament.govt.nz'
<Damien.O'Connor@parliament.govt.nz>; 'greg.oconnor@parliament.govt.nz'
<greg.oconnor@parliament.govt.nz>; 'Ibrahim.Omer@parliament.govt.nz'
<Ibrahim.Omer@parliament.govt.nz>; 'Sarah.Pallett@parliament.govt.nz'
<Sarah.Pallett@parliament.govt.nz>; 'David.Parker@parliament.govt.nz'
<David.Parker@parliament.govt.nz>; 'Willow-Jean.Prime@parliament.govt.nz' <Willow-</p>
Jean.Prime@parliament.govt.nz>
Cc: 'contactus@justice.govt.nz' < contactus@justice.govt.nz'; 'enquiries@police.govt.nz'
<enquiries@police.govt.nz>; 'enquiries@sfo.govt.nz' <enquiries@sfo.govt.nz>;
'geoffduffy@lycos.com' <geoffduffy@lycos.com>; 'jock.allison85@gmail.com'
<jock.allison85@gmail.com>; 'Howard Dewhirst' <nhd@petroalbion.com>;
```

```
'team@taxpayers.org.nz' < team@taxpayers.org.nz >; 'Rod Dale' < rod.dale@bigpond.com >;
'john.maunder@gmail.com' <john.maunder@gmail.com>; 'news@hobsonspledge.nz'
<news@hobsonspledge.nz>; 'muriel@nzcpr.com' <muriel@nzcpr.com>;
'jmscarry@xtra.co.nz' <jmscarry@xtra.co.nz>; 'rosalieashby@gmail.com'
<rosalieashby@gmail.com>; 'shargreaves@ipa.org.com' <shargreaves@ipa.org.com>;
'rod@pacificsunset.co.nz' <rod@pacificsunset.co.nz>; 'john@johnansell.co.nz'
<john@johnansell.co.nz>; 'mckenziebarbara42@gmail.com'
<mckenziebarbara42@gmail.com>; 'ppurcel@tpg.com.au' <ppurcel@tpg.com.au>;
'helpdesk@investigatemagazine.com' <helpdesk@investigatemagazine.com>;
'srdtaylor@gmail.com' <srdtaylor@gmail.com>; 'jhapps@bigpond.com'
<ihapps@bigpond.com>; 'aaprjohn@northnet.org' <aaprjohn@northnet.org>;
'jimrsimpson@bigpond.com' <jimrsimpson@bigpond.com>; 'Christopher Monckton'
<monckton@mail.com>; 'sandra.goudie@tcdc.govt.nz' <sandra.goudie@tcdc.govt.nz>;
'Deborah Alexander' <o-d-alexander@xtra.co.nz>; 'news@tvnz.co.nz' <news@tvnz.co.nz>;
'news@nzherald.co.nz' <news@nzherald.co.nz>; 'Juana Atkins' <sb@thebfd.co.nz>; 'leighton
smith' <leightonzb@gmail.com>; 'petersenior42@gmail.com' <petersenior42@gmail.com>;
'Terry Dunleavy' <terry@winezeal.co.nz>; 'M.J. Kelly' <mjk1@cam.ac.uk>;
'john.ryan@oag.parliament.nz' <john.ryan@oag.parliament.nz>; 'Steve Taylor'
<srdtaylor@gmail.com>
```

Subject: An Open Letter to PM Ardern and Minister Shaw regarding the Promotion of a Fraud (NZSFO Complaint CON0008591)

Dear Prime Minister and Minister for Climate Change,

This open letter provides an indictment of your incompetence. Unless you can prove that human carbon emissions cause climate change (and in my last open letter I proved that is impossible for you to do), you should be removed from office and your motivation for pursuing the New Zealand limb of the international climate fraud should be dealt with by the "proper authorities".

Let's first define my use of the term "incompetence" according to "Rofe's law of holes":

"Incompetence **in this context** is sending a delegation of fifteen (15) fact-starved, but government funded people to the other side of the world at the expense of 20 average person years equivalent of carbon emissions, in order to persuade rational "Paris Accord" hold-out countries not to keep emitting carbon dioxide and methane (allegedly in order to stop Global Warming) when:

- 1. A crisis of global energy scarcity is looming, due in large measure to the UN IPCC and the international pseudo-environmental movement. (diversification of energy sources is rational, but getting rid of other essential energy supplies before diversification, will lead to increased poverty, food insecurity and the destruction of economies for no proper purpose)
- 2. We know for certain it isn't arithmetically possible for human carbon emissions to materially influence climate change. (you have been shown the proof)
- 3. To justify your actions, there has never been any empirical scientific evidence presented by you or your officers to show that periodic changes in atmospheric carbon dioxide materially influence climate change. (only a litany of sophistry)
- 4. The global average temperature of the lower atmosphere is now falling. (See the graph and other data below)"


Between the Milankovitch Cycles' passage of 120,000-year ice ages and interglacial intervals, it is the sun that determines the passage of gradual climate changes, for both warming and cooling of the climate. So Minister James Shaw's Glasgow-bound travel plans are a cross between Icarus flying up to visit the sun and King Canute trying to turn back the tide. It is hard to discriminate which of those is greater testament to the Minister's ignorance or hubris.

Future generations will have no difficulty understanding this insanity, and you will both likely be persons of ridicule and caricature within your lifetimes and beyond as a result.


As you have both been told repeatedly, it is the cyclic variations in electromagnetic solar activity, moderated by the effects of the terrestrial water cycle which are the dominant cause of climate change for planet Earth. The effects of solar variability is equally apparent on the other planets within the solar system, but solar influence is more direct because they don't have our atmosphere and water cycle to moderate or delay change effects.

First, let me show you the evidence of <u>recent cooling</u>. (the data informs the science)

(i) The NASA satellite network tells us the global average temperature in regional slices at any point in time. For example it shows the 30 September 2021 graph for the Lower Troposphere (which is where we live and where global warming is said to be occurring) shows the month of September 2021 was 0.15°C cooler this year than last. That is just month-end to month-end – but the trend is there:



(ii) Other surface temperature datasets collectively corroborate the five year drop – these are graphed as follows:



(iii) Is this the start of a downward trend? (It is too early to be hyped as "climate change" but the weather effects are there for five years...)

Basically the trend in weather is also indicated by the change in snow pack for places like Greenland which we are told ended the 2021 melt season with an extra 450 giga-tonnes of snow and ice. Also the first datapoint for the Northern hemisphere snow pack shows a start this month with an extra 250 giga-tonnes of snow more than for the 1982-2012 average.

- (iv) The Antarctic ice and snow is at the seasonal peak, but what has happened to temperatures over the Antarctic winter? At the South Pole we are told the April to September 2021 average temperature of -61.1°C is the coldest for any six month period on record. This suggests long term cooling of the Antarctic continues.
- (v) There is only one reliable measure of what is happening in the part of the atmosphere where we have been repeatedly told that global warming is taking place. That is the lower Troposphere, for which the data is shown in the official graph above.


Second, Good luck to Minister Shaw in his meeting in Glasgow with Russian, Indian and Chinese counterparts (if they bother to attend).

The official Russian 2014 estimate of where things would be at with the solar cycles and associated climate effect is right on cue. Unlike the misrepresentations from the UN IPCC echoed by the Ardern/Shaw government, President Putin does not rate changes in CO₂ as having any material effect on climate change. He has personally and publicly said so.

The Russians are preparing for the forecast arrival of the "Grand Solar Minimum" with an attendant "Little Ice Age" to follow the end of the Modern Warm Period from 2020, as per the attached PDF version of the slide show of the presentation to the International Climate Change Conference in July 2014, by Dr Habibullo Abdussamatov – delivered under the auspices of the Russian Academy of Sciences. So Minister Shaw, you can compare our efforts to prepare for global warming with their efforts to prepare for a coming period of severe cooling. **Also with the dire need for China and India to obtain more fossil fuels.**

They are still building refineries to lessen fuel insecurity. Your government is getting rid of Marsden Pt. Refinery to increase our fuel insecurity.

If you look at their slide 13. In the above attached PDF, you will see that the graph curves for solar cycles numbered 5, 6 and 7 relate to the last 200-year Grand Solar Minimum (a period containing three or more relatively inactive 11-year solar cycles) which was known as the Dalton Minimum and part of the "little ice age". The Grand Solar Maximum (a period of three or more very active 11-year solar cycles) called the Modern Warm Period, began at the beginning of the 20th Century. The strongest cycle was clearly solar cycle 19. But the other four were all strong. The current Grand Solar Minimum is influenced by solar cycles numbered 24 (purple) and 25 (light green) as shown in the graph below...



Solar Cycle 25 progression (green line) compared to 24, 23, 22 & 21 [updated Oct 1, 2021 — solen.info] source Electroverse.

It isn't hard to understand what caused the pause in the global annual temperature increase which occurred from 2000 to 2015 (which agents of the UN IPCC tried to cover up in the "Climate-gate" scandal) in the light of the impact of lesser active solar cycles numbered 23 and 24. But while global average temperatures during the period 2016 to the present have remained high, that can possibly be attributed to the strong "El Nino" oceanic effects in the Pacific region.

Third, If we take note of the empirical data, what should we be doing?

Well, this brings up the following question. Under the "precautionary principle" and in light of the current New Zealand Government's "Declaration of a Climate Emergency", one may ask, "what provision has the New Zealand Government made for the possibility of significant global cooling during the new cyclical Grand Solar Minimum?" This kind of cooling has been a regular 200-year event during the Holocene interglacial period, after all.

For PM Ardern, I appreciate it was clever to call a "Declaration of Climate Emergency" at a time when the weather was so benign that any future changes could be expected to be worse, and it was a good strategic move to appoint a responsible Minister from the Greens party, so there is a scapegoat if/when things turn really cold. But the fact is that you both simply misled Parliament and the people of New Zealand that you were going with a fake scientific consensus, when since 2018 (when I explained the situation to you) you must, or should have known there was no data to support the fake "science".

While the weather has always been a mixture of pattern and chaos, the climate (only ever a 30-40 year average of weather conditions) has always warmed and cooled in cyclic fashion. Expensive sophistry from taxpayer-funded pseudo-scientists could never change that.

So your climate scare tactics (and the lies taught to our children in support of the fraud) continue as justification for the damage being done to an increasing number of essential industry sectors. After 25 failed and pretentious UN IPCC COP conferences since Kyoto, you must try to understand that to unbiased observers, the UN IPCC doing the same thing over and over – always with a failed result - is either a sign of institutional stupidity or just potential fraud?

During the period 1945 to 1975 the Earth's climate cooled and yet there was continued annual increases of human carbon emissions and growth in the atmospheric concentration of CO₂. The fraud you have become implicated in was objectively disproven even before the UN IPCC was established for seemingly improper purposes in 1988! How can you also not see that?

More importantly, how will you explain your obvious "incompetence" to the New Zealand public? Politicians telling the scientists what to say and then claiming (when the get the result they asked for – and that we paid for) that they "follow the science", does not cut it.

Good luck! I think you are going to need it.

Yours sincerely John Rofe Experienced Fraud Investigator