

NY BAR(R) ASSOCIATION ADVOCATES MANDATORY COVID-19

By Joseph P. Farrell, 13 June 2020

It wasn't long in coming, and perhaps we all knew it would, but the N.Y. Bar(r) association has come out in favor of mandatory covid-19 "vaccination," which will certainly be good news to Baal and Malicious Gates. This article, and the other article accompanying this blog, was shared by V.T.:

[New York State Barr Association proposes mandatory COVID-19 vaccine, in violation of Nuremburg code, medical ethics, informed consent](#)

Beyond the "Freudian slip" of referring to it as the "Barr Association" and not the "Bar Association" (does Natural News know something we don't?), the reading is disturbing:

A [chilling new report from the New York State Bar Association](#) (NYSBA) demands that all United States residents be inoculated with upcoming coronavirus vaccinations. The May 13 report, published by more than 24,000 lawyers, demands: "When the efficacy of a COVID-19 vaccine has been confirmed, enact legislation requiring vaccination of each person unless the person's physician deems vaccination for his or her patient to be clinically inappropriate."

The NYSBA committee believes that "for the sake of public health, mandatory vaccinations for COVID-19 should be required in the United States as soon as it is available." Their stated goal is "to shape the development of law."

NYSBA believes that a majority of Americans will want the vaccine, even though [polls show Americans are very distrustful of a potential coronavirus vaccination](#). The group understands that "some Americans may push back on the COVID-19 vaccination for religious, philosophical or personal reasons," but believe that all individual freedom, body autonomy, parental rights, religious beliefs, and personal objections to scientific experiments should be suspended for the sake of "public health."

The article also points out, correctly, that this measure is completely contrary to the Nuremberg code:

We are currently witnessing a modern day violation of the [Nuremberg code of medical ethics](#), which was established in the wake of the Second World War, following the Nuremberg trials. The Nuremberg code is a set of principles and research ethics for human experimentation that were adopted after Germany's medical establishment tortured and slaughtered countless innocent people in medical experiments conducted during the Holocaust.

One of the vaccines that are being rushed through clinical trials is an [mRNA injection that encodes new instructions into human cells](#), forcing the ribosomes to generate spike proteins from within the cell. This cell-hijacking medical experiment alters human biology to force immune-responsive cells to attack the virus-like proteins being produced by the cells. This medical experimentation, if made mandatory, would not only cause adverse reactions and long term consequences, but also initiate a new era of unethical medical experimentation, where informed consent is replaced with a new holocaust of widespread human abuse and vaccine injury.

Now, this is, to my mind, the "rub": in rushing a "vaccine" to market, and requiring everyone to take it, one of the fundamental principles of science is being ignored, that of long-term intergenerational study. In effect, what the N.Y. Bar(r) association is wanting is to force everyone to take a vaccine *that will alter a person's mitochondrial RNA* which, as Baal Gates

himself has admitted, will not only encode "new instructions into human cells" but in the process alter an individual's DNA, *with no long-term health, genetics, or environmental impact studies being done.*

I don't know about you, but I call that a recipe for a disaster. It sort of reminds one of the similar situation regarding GMOs: no long term environmental or health impact studies were done, and now there's a steady pulse of research indicating that GMOs pose health problems, environmental problems, and even lead to *falling* yields-per-acre and increased costs over time. The result is that science suffers from a corporate agenda, and how much more so in the case of the "vaccine" being proposed. I don't know about you, but anything that alters human DNA is no longer a "vaccine" in any conventional sense; it's genetic engineering, and we'd better conduct some real long term independent (of Baal and Malicious Gates or the N.Y. Bar(r) association) studies before we start changing humanity's genetic code itself. We could easily fix that intergenerational studies problem by having Baal and Malicious Gates take said vaccines themselves, and then have some more children, and see what happens in a generation or two.

But I digress.

There's yet *another* reason to be skeptical about a bunch of New York lawyers queuing up to push mandatory "vaccines," beyond the rather obvious one of "who stands the most to gain financially" if their grand plans of "concern" for "everyone's" health goes wrong. After all, lawyers could make a lot of money in lawsuits against "vaccine" peddlers for damages against clients. But that's not the reason to be skeptical:

The WHO just obliterated every argument for mandatory vaccines or contact tracing by declaring asymptomatic carriers don't spread COVID-19

Let's assume the W.H.O. is wrong (again). Even without that story, there's the little problem called hydroxychloroquine, and the story that I blogged about a few days ago that the President of El Salvadore revealed that he and many world leaders were advised to take the well-known drug, in long use, as a preventative. And, as studies have shown, the drug also appears to have strong curative properties. No wonder the propatainment media went into hysterics to trash the drug.

Because as long as *that* story is out there, the "altruism" of the N.Y. Bar(r) association's "concern" for everyone's health is in serious question. Why do we need a mandatory vaccine law (and all the millions of dollars into research for it), when a cheap drug is already available? And why isn't the N.Y. Bar(r) association advocating for a mandatory "hydroxychloroquine) law?

Answer: because they're hypocrites, and greedy ones at that.