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Not Just Douma, Not Just Chemical Weapon Attacks, Not Just Syria. OPCW fakery is not just about Douma, nor just about chemical weapon attacks, not just about Syria. It’s about a larger context of the lies, propaganda & an overarching agenda.

**THE STORY:**

The recent OPCW fakery and scandal re: the alleged chemical attack on Douma is reminiscent of previous attempts by the USG to propagandize through lies.

**THE IMPLICATIONS:**

What is the bigger picture of OPCW fakery? Who does it benefit? Has the OPCW been compromised? How many lies about Syria have we been told? Do all wars start with lies?

The latest revelations regarding OPCW fakery are eye-opening. They need to be published by all kinds of media, both alternative media and mainstream media, to be spread far and wide. The OPCW (*Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons*) lied – and it lied with grave consequences. It lied to help the US Empire or the Anglo-Zionist Empire or the New World Order (whatever you’d like to call it) justify its legally and morally unjustifiable pre-emptive strikes and war on Syria. To paraphrase the brave Julian Assange (who is being tortured by the US-UK power cabal as you read this), wars are started with lies and peace can be started with truth. Exposing the OPCW fakery around the alleged April 2018 Douma chemical weapons attack is important, but zooming out a little, what about all the other alleged chemical weapons attacks? What if all of them are lies? Taking a step back even further, what if all – literally all – wars are started by lies? The battle for truth (and from the NWO manipulators’ perspective, the battle for narrative control) has far greater implications that merely who is right and wrong. War (mass murder) is the most destructive act of which humanity is capable. The battle here is about preserving life and about peace.

Pretty Little Chemical Attacks, All in a Row

The whole chemical attack narrative as an excuse for war has an interesting history. Did you know that the US was selling chemical weapons to Iraq during the 1980s Iran-Iraq war? Just ask good old ex-Monsanto, ex-Secretary of Defense and 9/11 co-conspirator Donald Rumsfeld, who sold chemical weapons to Iraqi dictator Saddam Hussein, at a time when Hussein was a ‘good guy’ before he suddenly became a ‘bad guy’ when he no longer suited US interests after 9/11. Douma was just the latest in a long string of alleged chemical attacks that have taken place since 2011 when the Syrian War began. This Wikipedia entry has a long list of them. Some of the more notable and memorable ones, which have been investigated by bodies like the OPCW, the UNCHR (the United Nations Council on Human Rights), HRW (Human Rights Watch), the US, the UK, France and Russia, include Khan al-Assal (March 2013), Ghouta (August 2013) and Khan Sheikhoun (April 2017). Let’s take a brief look at each one in turn. In all cases, those pushing for war never offered sufficient evidence to back their claims, never explained how Assad could have carried out the attacks when he had removed all chemical weapons from Syria, never explained Assad’s motive for carrying out these attacks (when he was already winning the war) and never explained why the Syrian Government was a more likely culprit than the US-backed rebels (like Al Qaeda/Al Nusra) – who controlled certain areas like Ghouta and Khan Sheikhoun.

Khan al-Assal Chemical Attack
This occurred during March 2013, when Barack Obama and David Cameron were in power, and the MSM mantra of the day was “Assad Must Go.” Well, Assad is still here but Obama and Cameron have gone. Remember how Carla Del Ponte, a member of the Independent International Commission of Inquiry on the Syrian Arab Republic (IICISAR) and the former Chief Prosecutor for the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia and the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda, told the BBC this very revealing piece of information? Here it is:

“what appears to our investigations [is] that [chemical weapons were] used by the opponents, by the rebels and we have no indication at all that … the authorities of the Syrian government have used chemical weapons.”

As Philippe Lemoine writes for 21st Century Wire:

“To be sure, [Del Ponte] indicated that she was only talking about their preliminary findings and, when the IICISAR published its report a month later, it didn’t assign responsibility to anyone. Del Ponte reiterated her claims after the report was published in another interview to Euronews and said that she didn’t regret making them.”

“In fact, it was the regime that asked the UN to investigate the attack on Khan al-Assal, which led to the creation of the IICISAR. Again, this does not prove anything, but it makes it extremely implausible that the attack in question was perpetrated by the regime. It would be really amazing if Assad had demanded that the UN investigate a chemical attack his regime perpetrated…Another reason to think that rebels may have been responsible for the attack in Khan al-Assal is that, according to Foreign Policy, al-Nusra captured chemical weapons including chlorine and sarin from a government’s stockpile three months before the attack.”

Ghouta Chemical Attack

Once you know the script, the plot becomes obvious; once you know the NWO agenda, world events become predictable. No psychic abilities are required; just paying attention. Assad was proving militarily strong enough (with the help of his Iranian and Russian allies) to defend himself against the US-UK-Israel-Saudi Arabia-Turkey alliance, so demonizing him with propaganda about being a tyrant that gasses his own people was a key strategy chosen by the West at that time. That way, they could harness the soft coup power of their insidious and nefarious NGO network to help topple the Syrian president.

For the August 2013 Ghouta attack, the White House released an evidence-free document it called a “Government Assessment”, which made extremely precise claims about the attack and blamed Assad and the Syrian Government for it. The US alliance almost started a war against Syria until Obama backed down at the last minute, after Putin offered him a way out by proposing that Russia and the US supervise the dismantling of Syria’s chemical arsenal.

Given this, why on earth would have Assad have taken the risk to commit a chemical attack in the suburbs of Damascus after Obama had made his famous red line declaration, i.e. that the US would not tolerate chemical weapons being used in Syria? And, on top of that, the Ghouta attack took place on the same day a UN inspection team tasked with investigating allegations of chemical weapons use arrived in Damascus! Assad would have had to have been highly foolish and dimwitted to do such a thing.
Again, I will quote from Philippe Lemoine:

“Seymour Hersh, a famous investigative journalist who, among other thing, broke the My Lai massacre and the Abu Ghrabi scandal, argued in two detailed articles published a few months after the attack that Turkey provided sarin to Syrian rebels. According to him, the Turkish government wanted them to carry out a false flag attack using chemical weapons in Syria, which Erdogan hoped would force the US to intervene against the regime. Hersh’s claims were later supported by the allegations made in December 2015 by Turkish members of Parliament, who claimed that, back in 2013, several people had been arrested with chemicals in the South of Turkey a few weeks before the attack in Ghouta. In March 2014, a conversation between then Prime Minister of Turkey Ahmet Davutoğlu and several high-level military and intelligence officials was leaked, in which they can be heard discussing the possibility of carrying out a false flag attack in the North of Syria to provide Ankara with a justification for a Turkish invasion.”

The OPCW was set up in 1997, and the US right from the start has been using it as a tool for their imperial agenda. The first OPCW director Jose Bustani was forced out by the US because he wanted Iraq to sign the convention thus allowing international chemical weapons monitors into Iraq, thus potentially impeding the US ability to wage war against Iraq. The recent OPCW fakery around Douma echoes the same theme of the US interfering to obtain the desired result in furtherance of their geopolitical ambitions. OPCW fakery has been going on quite a few years now.

Khan Sheikhoun Chemical Attack

The Khan Sheikhoun chemical attack of April 2017 was the one where Trump ‘became president’ by, in retaliation to Assad’s alleged ‘chemical weapons’ attack, Trump launched 59 tomahawk missiles into Syria and killing 14 people. In a wonderfully logical, forensic and presidential way, he was prompted to do so because his daughter Ivanka was crying about the poor Syrian children. I wonder if Donald and Ivanka ever stopped to think about the US creating and arming ISIS to behead and murder people, and that if that also made them heartbroken. The NYT and other USG mouthpieces blamed Assad and the Syrian Government without evidence. The only evidence that chemicals were released by bombs dropped by planes came from the testimony of the people on the ground, testimony which is not exactly credible given that Khan Sheikhoun was under the control of Al Qaeda in Syria. Scott Ritter is a former UN weapons inspector in Iraq from 1991 to 1998. He became a vocal opponent of US foreign policy and the US war against Iraq after he exposed the WMD lie that was propounded by the Bush Administration. There were never any WMDs in Iraq. When it comes to chemical weapons, Ritter knows his stuff. Here he gives his analysis of the likelihood that the chemical attack was done by Assad. First he exposes the Western-funded White Helmets, upon whose evidence the USG has many times relied for on-the-ground eyewitness testimony about alleged chemical weapons attacks:

“By White Helmet’s own admission, it is well-resourced, trained and funded by western NGOs and governments, including USAID (U.S. Agency for International Development), which funded the group $23 million as of 2016 ... the White helmet videos depict their rescue workers using excavation equipment and tools, such as pneumatic drills, to gain access to victims supposedly pinned under the weight of a collapsed building. The techniques used by the White Helmets are not only technically wrong, but dangerous to anyone who might actually be trapped—the introduction of excavators to move debris, or the haphazard drilling and hammering into concrete in the immediate vicinity of a trapped victim, would invariably lead to a shifting if the rubble pile, crushing the trapped victim to death. In my opinion, the
videos are pure theater, either staged to impress an unwitting audience, or actually conducted with total disregard for the wellbeing of any real victims.”

“This reality comes through on the images provided by the White Helmets of their actions in and around Khan Sheikhun on April 4. From the haphazard use of personal protective equipment ... to the handling of victims and so-called decontamination efforts, everything the White Helmets did was operationally wrong ... As was the case with their “rescues” of victims in collapsed structures, I believe the rescue efforts of the White Helmets at Khan Sheikhun were a theatrical performance designed to impress the ignorant and ill-informed.”

Then he turns his attention to OPCW fakery. The OPCW used the White Helmets for its sample of sarin gas!:

“Through its work, the OPCW has determined that the nerve agent Sarin, or a “Sarin-like substance,” was used at Khan Sheikhun ... the problem, however, is that the OPCW is in no position to make the claim it did. One of the essential aspects of the kind of forensic investigation carried out by organizations such as the OPCW—namely the application of scientific methods and techniques to the investigation of a crime—is the concept of “chain of custody” of any samples that are being evaluated ... Anything less than this means the integrity of the sample has been compromised—in short, there is no sample ... The OPCW acknowledges that its personnel did not gain access to Khan Sheikhun at any time. However, the investigating team states that it used connections with “parties with knowledge of and connections to the area in question,” to gain access to samples that were collected by “non governmental organizations (NGOs)” which also provided representatives to be interviewed, and videos and images for the investigating team to review. The NGO used by the OPCW was none other than the White Helmets.”

Finally he ties it all together:

“There can be no doubt that the very survival of the White Helmets as an organization, and the cause they support (i.e., regime change in Syria), has been furthered by the narrative they have helped craft and sell about the events of April 4 in and around Khan Sheikhun ... If America has learned anything from its painful history with Iraq and the false allegations of continued possession of weapons of mass destruction on the part of the regime of Saddam Hussein, it is that to rush into military conflict in the Middle East based upon the unsustained allegations of an interested regional party (i.e., Ahmed Chalabi and the Iraqi National Congress) is a fool’s errand.”

Beyond OPCW Fakery: Lies to Start Wars in General

Thorough knowledge consists of understanding detail and theme. It’s important to focus in on the OPCW fakery around the Douma incident to realize how the US uses all sorts of ‘independent’ bodies, organizations and groups to do its bidding and dirty work, from the OAS in the Americas to NGOs (White Helmets, USAID, Open Society Foundation) to Al-Qaeda and ISIS themselves. However it is even more crucial to then integrate that example or instance into the bigger picture. Douma OPCW fakery is just one incident of grand deception to attempt to justify regime change and war against Syria. That push to control Syria, in turn, is just part of a larger geopolitical strategy to dominate the Middle East and bring all countries in that region (especially the 7 nations as famously exposed by General Wesley Clark) in line with Israel’s desires. That in turn is part of the NWO Zionist agenda.

Look at the larger picture of how nations lie to start wars. The USG lied to the American public to get the US into war against the Spanish in 1898 (USS Maine false flag incident); to get the US into war against Germany et al in WW1 in 1917 (Lusitania incident); to get the US into war against Japan (Germany again) in WW2 in 1941 (Pearl Harbor incident); to get the US into war against Vietnam in 1964 (Gulf of Tonkin false flag incident); to get the US into a perpetual war against a faceless enemy (terrorism) (9/11 false flag incident); to get the US
into war against Iraq in 2003 (no WMD incident); and many many more. Dig hard enough and you will inevitably find lies beneath wars as surely as you will find fire beneath smoke. It’s all about propaganda, lies, deception and narrative control so that the physical force, coercion, assassination, invasion and occupation becomes more palatable and acceptable. Viewed in this way, the US-instigated OPCW fakery all makes sense in the overall scheme of NWO psychopathy and domination.
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