

The Economic End Game Continues

By Brandon Smith via Alt-Market.com, 5 November 2017

In November of 2014 I published an article titled ['The Economic End Game Explained'](#). In it I outlined what I believed would be **the process by which globalists would achieve what they call the "new world order" or what they sometimes call the "global economic reset."**

As I have shown in great detail in the past, the globalist agenda includes a fiscal end game; a prize or trophy that they hope to obtain. *This prize is a completely centralized global economic structure, rooted in a single central bank for the world, the removal of the U.S. dollar as world reserve currency, the institution of the SDR basket system which will act as a bridge for single a global currency supplanting all others and, ultimately, global governance of this system by a mere handful of "elites."*

The timeline for this process is unclear, but there is some indication of when the "beginning of the end" would commence. **As noted in the globalist owned magazine *The Economist*, in an article titled ["Get Ready For The Phoenix,"](#) the year of 2018 seems to be the launching point for the great reset.** This timeline is supported by the numerous measures already taken to undermine dollar dominance in international trade as well as elevate the International Monetary Fund's SDR basket. **It is clear that the globalists have deadlines they intend to meet.**

That said, there have been some new developments since I wrote my initial analysis on the end-game strategy that I think merit serious attention. **The end game continues, faster than ever before, and here are some of the indicators showing that the "predictions" of the globalists at *The Economist* in 1988 were more like self-fulfilling prophecies and 2018 remains a primary nexus point for a re-engineering of our economic environment.**

Using The East To Dismantle The Petrodollar

As I mentioned in last week's article, ['Lies And Distractions Surrounding The Petrodollar,'](#) there has been **silence and often disinformation in the mainstream when it comes to the quite open and obvious international pivot away from the dollar as the defacto purchasing mechanism for oil.** This trend is only set to accelerate in two months as [China begins fulfilling oil contracts in the Yuan](#) instead of the dollar.

The problem is that even in the alternative media there is a continuing myth that Eastern nations are angling to "break away" from the international order. I often see the argument presented that the loss of the petrodollar can only be a good thing for the world. I am not here to comment on whether the end of oil-denominated in dollars is a good or bad thing. I am here, though, to point out that there is absolutely no indication whatsoever that major eastern powers like Russia and China are acting to undermine the existing globalist system.

On the contrary, China and Russia remain, as ever, heavily partnered with the IMF as well as the Bank for International Settlements, and their ties to international [banking monoliths like Goldman Sachs and JP Morgan](#) are long established.

Eastern political and economic officials have consistently called for a [new reserve system supplanting the dollar](#), this is true. But what so many analysts seem to overlook is that they ALSO call for that new system to be dominated by the IMF.

The delusion that the financial world operates on is that the IMF is "controlled" by the U.S. It is not. It is controlled by international bankers, who have no loyalties to any specific country. Once one understands this fact, the systematic sabotage of the U.S. makes perfect sense, as well as the collusion between China, Russia and the IMF. America is a sacrificial appendage of the globalist edifice and is being torn down piece by piece in order to feed the creation of something new and perhaps even more sinister.

As [George Soros proclaimed](#) back in 2009, the "new world order" would rely in part on China as a replacement economic engine for the globalist machine and depend far less on a diminishing United States. China would serve as a smaller engine, but a replacement engine none the less.

China is more than happy to oblige the globalists with a concerted and incremental program of de-dollarization. But this does not mean that the end-goal is a "petroyuan." No, the goal is for the IMF to assert the dominance of the SDR basket system as a reserve hub. And, China is now the flagship market for the SDR after its recent induction into the fold. There will be no single reserve currency after the dollar is brutalized. At least, not until all currencies are homogenized through the SDR basket and finally replaced with a single global currency unit. Until then, the IMF or the BIS will dictate nation-to-nation trade and monetary exchange.

It only follows that this highly-volatile rebirth of the global financial order would begin in part with the dollar's loss of petro-status. The oil trade is the one defining element that gives the dollar a fundamental edge over all other currencies. It is the closest thing we have to commodity backing for the dollar and it is an advantage no other currency in the world can yet boast. There are many ways to destroy the dollar, but the BEST method would be to end its petro-status.

The Global Currency Unit Is Already Here

One argument I used to hear often from naysayers on global currency was that there "is no monetary unit with enough liquidity to replace the dollar." Of course, these people have no understanding of the SDR basket and how it could be used to envelop and absorb most if not all currencies into a single reserve mechanism. That said, I understand the confusion. When people think of currencies, they think of physical tickets of measurement; they want to see a piece of paper with symbols, or, they want to at least see a brand name for the product, which is what all currencies really are.

When *The Economist* in 1988 called for a global currency to launch in 2018, they were perhaps not aware of the exact form the destructor would take. Even in 2014 I was not fully convinced we had enough evidence on what that unit of measurement would be or look like. Today, it is clear as crystal — the one world currency system will not only be a cashless system, but it will also be based on digital blockchain technology.

As I examined in my article '[The Globalist One World Currency Will Look A Lot Like Bitcoin](#),' while some politicians and banking moguls publicly attack blockchain-based products like Bitcoin or Ethereum, in the background they are actually heavily invested in these systems and are even building their own. With central banking mascots like [Ben Bernanke becoming keynote speakers at blockchain conferences](#), it is not exactly an elusive secret that the global banks love blockchain tech.

Even major elitist corporations like [Amazon](#) appear ready to adopt blockchain products as currencies. So, one needs to ask the question: If the blockchain and Bitcoin are such a dire threat to the centralization of the establishment, why are they rapidly laying all the groundwork necessary for blockchain systems to replace paper currencies?

What is interesting to me is that even in the highly vigilant world of alternative economics, which is well aware of the trend towards a global currency system, blockchain systems are still revered as if they will save us from central bank tyranny. *Very few people have noticed that [The Economist call for a 2018 one world monetary framework has arrived slightly early; it has been right under our noses for several years. With blockchain-based methods of exchange, a replacement structure for the dollar and all other national currencies is not very far away.](#)*

The Federal Reserve Implosion Program Continues

I remember back before 2008 when the media almost never treated actions at the Federal Reserve as major news. In fact, I remember back when the average American had never even heard for the Federal Reserve, and some believed the very existence of the institution was a "conspiracy theory". **Now, the nomination for the new Fed chair is at the top of the news feeds, but for all the wrong reasons.**

The changing of the Fed chair is absolutely meaningless as far as policy is concerned. **Jerome Powell will continue the same exact initiatives as Yellen; stimulus will be removed, rates will be hiked and the balance sheet will be reduced, leaving the massive market bubble the Fed originally created vulnerable to implosion.** Equities in particular display the behavior of an out of control bullet train similar to the 2006/2007 bubble, or even the delusional exuberance prominent before the crash of 1929.

All of this optimism is dependent on two things - *dumb blind faith that all investors will continue to act in perfect concert to always "buy the dip", and, continued faith that central banks will forever step in to obstruct and reverse any market correction.*

An observant person, however, might have noticed that central banks around the world seem to be acting in a coordinated fashion to remove stimulus support from markets and raise interest rates, cutting off supply lines of easy money that have long been a crutch for our crippled economy. The Bank of England raised rates this past week, as the Federal Reserve indicated yet another rate hike in December. The Europeans Central Bank continues to prep the public for coming rate hikes, while the Bank of Japan has [assured the public](#) that "inflation" expectations have been met and no new stimulus is necessary. If all of this appears coordinated, that is because it is.

Fed policy is not dictated by the Fed chair, and it is certainly not dictated by Donald Trump. As former chairman Alan Greenspan [openly admitted](#), the central bank does NOT answer to government, it is an autonomous policy making machine. **Fed chairs are as easily replaced as lawnmower parts; they are mascots for the banking system, nothing more.** Once they are "nominated" by the president, they take their orders from another source entirely, and I would even question the validity of the nomination process and how the original list of candidates is chosen. **For the real puppeteers at the Fed, one would need to look to an organization outside the U.S., called the Bank for International Settlements.**

Many Subtle Changes Add Up To Unprecedented Instability

I think it is vital for people to consider time when it comes to economics. **Changes we think were abrupt during historic moments of crisis were often not abrupt at all.** Almost all financial crisis "events" were preceded by years if not decades of growing but subtle cracks in the foundation. If you were to travel back 10 years ago and explain to the average person (or the average mainstream economist) what is happening today, he would probably scoff indignantly. Yet today these things are accepted as commonplace, or ignored as unimportant. Time and short attentions spans are the bane of free societies.

The skeleton of the "new world order" economy is right in front of us. The triggers for explosive change have already been planted. What concerns me is, when these changes come to fruition and crisis follows, will the masses even notice?