THE sheer savagery of the "anti-human" movement is not only alarmingly cold but becoming increasingly virulent in its attacks.

Whether its calling for a cull of dangerous animals that threaten people, or being anti-abortion and anti-euthanasia, the mere act of stating you value human life is the equivalent of walking into no man's land on the Western Front.

You'll be sniped at by keyboard heroes, surrounded by rabid enviro-warriors and machine gunned down amid a barrage of verbal fumes emanating from the mouths of full-time off-fence seekers.

Similarly, if you express pride in humankind's (I've been admonished for saying the sexist "mankind") great achievements at the expense of some trees and a few animals, you'll feel like you're a Christian in ISIS territory.

Instead of militants baying for your blood, it's bespectacled hipsters and well-meaning city slickers declaring jihad while wringing their hands in disbelief at your insensitivity.

These enviro-terrorists want to wipe humans from the face of the Earth because we are a plague species that has upset nature's balance.

But just don't expect them to lead the charge by culling themselves, be-

ATTACK: A plan to cull feral cats has exposed a deep hatred of humans.

reading and they don't include the numbers killed by domestic cats given free rein by irresponsible owners to spray, fight and breed every night.

This should be compelling enough to sway even the most ardent cat lover that we have to declare war on feral felines. But no.

While many commentators applauded the government's move and slammed lazy cat ownership, out came the trolls saying that cats aren't the biggest threat to the environment, it's humans and we should be culled.

Sadly, the top comment along these lines had 69 "likes".

When one dissenter suggested the top commentator lead by example and call herself, she said she'd be happy to ... provided everyone else agreed to as well.

This is the worrying mentality that has grown from a radical, fringe-dwelling seed to the point someone has no qualms publicly stating it.

To have 69 people agreeing is also shocking. But it's not just cats.

Simply suggesting getting rid of crocodiles from populated areas to protect human life is a moral obligation, is enough to need you running for a flak jacket and hardhat.

Same for those who think it's great we have the ability to exploit the Earth's bounty of minerals and fossil fuels for our own benefit.

By virtue of our large brains and social structures, humans have survived plagues, famines, wars and natural disasters to scramble to the top of the evolutionary tree.

To thrive and survive, we've had to adapt and use our environment to suit our needs.

Nobody advocates wanton destruction of nature, but rational people accept that wherever humans live, there will be some impact.

Trees will have to be cut down and some animals will be displaced or killed.

It's not something to celebrate, in the battle for our own survival and enjoyment of life, we shouldn't be encouraged by a vocal cohort of human hating us.

We inhabit a very small part of Australia's total land mass, and nearly 10 per cent is protected or national park, so there is plenty of space for trees and animals to live unmolested and where they can't molest us.

We have a right to be here, we have a right to be safe and we shouldn't be made to feel like criminals every time we want to build something or get rid of problem critters.
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