

www.better-management.org provides invaluable insights that will help you understand and deliver better organizational performance.

Better-Management Newsletter 30 April 2015

Which New World Order? / The Russians and Chinese are changing the game / The Trans Pacific Trade Partnership / A global arms race has begun / Meanwhile the world is awash with debt

Which New World Order?

This email is another that presents the news behind the news. The mainstream media addresses the popular fluff that creates circulation which, in turn, sells advertising space, so I concentrate on the less pleasant but realistic information about what is happening and how that is likely to affect us in the future.

If we take the poorly coordinated activities of humanity at face value, business as usual means that our future will certainly be unsustainable. For this reason we have pontifications from smart guys who are either advocating that humanity explores other worlds as we wear out the one we live in, or else planning space missions to do so. But it seems that wearing out the world we live in will happen first – unless we up the tempo of change. This is the justification for researching the NWO initiatives. Unfortunately for libertarians, the proponents of NWO are not Hitlers, Marxists or bunnies. Many are strategic thinkers with an interest in the future of our species. They see a NWO as an alternative to increasingly dangerous conflict and perhaps WW3.

I will try to catch up with Peter in his research into the NWO initiatives (*Editor's note: yes, that's me - check the post Which new world order?*). I have noticed that the person who has the biggest reputation on this subject, but is vilified uniformly by all libertarians, is Henry Kissinger. Some may not be aware that much of the architecture for US global financial dominance was instigated by Kissinger and he enjoys the reputation of an elder statesman in the world's halls of power – regardless of how he is viewed by the “hoi polloi”, so I will study his writings and report back.

Resource depletion and concerns about the future are already occupying the thoughts of strategists in the major world governments. But right now it is more of a predicament than a problem with clear potential solutions. So each tackles it differently.

The Russians and Chinese are changing the game

The Russians are changing the game to advantage their interests. We have discussed their plans and the way they are accelerating their game against the OECD...

<http://www.caseyresearch.com/articles/russia-loads-up-on-gold-dumps-us-treasuries>

I think that those who want to secure a deeper understanding of what is in play, could find Marin Katusa's book, “The Colder War” very interesting.

The Chinese are also changing the game to advantage their interests. We have discussed their economic and territorial strategies to consolidate control of resources indirectly (unlike Russia's more direct means).

The EU is trying to establish their trade block as a means of ensuring peace in Europe and prosperity in a changing world. Unlike other players, the EU lags behind the other major players in the substance of their military modernisations. There are signs they will move to catch up. But the EU has failed to develop a resource depletion strategy.

Japan is also starting to wonder at the way China is trying to seize territory and dominate their manufacturing – for which control of rare earths was but one tool China has already used. Another example is pressing the issue of the “comfort women” of WW2 to attack Japan's public face with potential allies. The trade ties between Japan and China are very strong and that is more a source of weakness for Japan than a strength. Japan admits it needs to modernise its armed forces and plans to exert more influence in the region, along with South Korea, Malaysia, Vietnam, Taiwan and the Philippines. All of whom feel threatened by the dominance of China and yet unsure of what they can do about it. Japan's is a mature but stagnant economy. This week Fitch has downgraded the Japanese Government bonds from A+ to A due to the lack of success of the Japanese version of accelerated QE. Japan's government realises that they have a poor resource depletion strategy and have been forced to endorse a return to reliance on nuclear power in the energy mix.

The Trans Pacific Trade Partnership

The Trans Pacific Trade Partnership (“TPP”) is evidence of the way the United States is attempting to implement its Pivot to Asiaaway from Europe and the Middle East. The activity of Saudi Arabia in the East and Turkey in the West are examples of where the USA wants large local players to provide security for that region, in their stead. This article seems to fairly cover the latest events in the TPP arrangements...

https://www.stratfor.com/weekly/prelude-japanese-revival?mc_cid=871da1f651&mc_eid=f6520e17b6#overlay-context=weekly/prelude-japanese-revival?utm_source=freelist-f&utm_medium=email&utm_term=Gweekly&utm_campaign=20150428

A TPP will advantage the multinational US corporations and not small businesses in Australasia. But it is by no means a certainty to pass the US Congress as evidenced by Mr Obama's rhetoric...from Seeking Alpha...

“[President Obama warned that China](#) would step into the economic vacuum the U.S. would create if it failed to enact the Trans-Pacific Partnership - a proposed 12-nation trade deal with Asia. "If we don't write the rules, China will write the rules out in that region," Obama said in an interview with the *WSJ*. "We will be shut out - American businesses and American agriculture. That will mean a loss of U.S. jobs." The president and his negotiators are also fighting for "fast track" legislation to expedite approval of the deal later this year.”

The USA sees an interest in Japan being armed as an ally to keep China contained. But that containment is exactly what China fears most. Chinese leadership have realised after the recent ASEAN conference that their aggressive posture in East and South China Seas has brought USA and the ASEAN nations closer together and that now works to China's disadvantage.

A global arms race has begun

As an example Russia is arming with much higher tech weaponry...and with aircraft, ships and submarines, testing NATO defences...

<http://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-32478937>

Now we have two major global rival blocs building military power and firming up on trade and military alliances with others. The USA and Europe versus Russia and China. This is not commensurate with anyone's idea of what a New World Order should look like. However, major global institutions still actively promote the central NWO vision.

1. The UN is meant to represent all nations and the UN's mandates are being continually strengthened rather than weakened.
2. The Bank of International Settlements ("BIS") is de facto central bank of the world's central banks.
3. The G20 meetings are a way to implement BIS controls over the global economy.
4. The OECD represents the world's developed nations and has organs like the IEA and IAEA scrutinising global energy and nuclear power regulation.
5. The International Criminal Court at the Hague hears and rules on disputes between nations.
6. The World Trade Organisation ("WTO") rules on trade practices between countries in an attempt to ensure trade is fair.

Perhaps WW3 will break out, but perhaps the issues rising from over-population and resource depletion will force greater attention to globalisation. To me, it seems that these are competing forces. For the present both power blocs are supporting rulings by the global regulatory authorities that go against their interests...for the most part.

Pollution, allocation of increasingly scarce resource and World Heritage designations that exempt areas from development are likely to be future flashpoints, as countries try to cooperate on matters of global and partisan interest.

Meanwhile the world is awash with debt

There is every indication that the mistakes made by OECD countries have also been replicated elsewhere. So, NWO or not, the essential causes of a future crash in the global financial system remain in place and are unlikely to be mitigated due to partisan politics. This article from today's BBC describes the nightmarish world we now live in, where money has no value...it is demand stimulation at all costs...

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/comment/jeremy-warner/11569329/Jeremy-Warner-Negative-interest-rates-put-world-on-course-for-biggest-mass-default-in-history.html>

We are therefore, on a global scale, reaching an inflexion point where the central banks, NWO or not, may be powerless to affect what comes next. The tussle is starting between banks wanting to charge for holding money, and people wanting to get their money back...

http://seekingalpha.com/article/3104716-could-sustained-negative-interest-rates-lead-to-bank-deposit-outflows?source=email_macro_view_edi_pic_0_0&ifp=0

And...

<http://www.internationalman.com/articles/the-war-on-cash-transparently-totalitarian>

So while there needs to be more activity towards implementing a new order to advantage humanity, the tighter controls will be resisted and the NWO proponents given a lot of negative press.